

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/627,018	07/27/2000	Helmut Weber	70442.1201 9975		
7590 12/21/2004			EXAMINER		
Peter A Sullivan			THALER, MICHAEL H		
Ronald Abramson Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Battery Park Plaza			3731		
New York, NY 10004			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·		$\Delta \Delta$	Λ			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	111				
Office Action Summary		09/627,018	WEBER ET AL.	ν, ο				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Michael Thaler	3731					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ac	dress				
Period fo	• •		(O) 55014					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		on.			
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 D</u>	ecember 2004.						
2a)□		action is non-final.						
3)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) 8 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to:  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
•	n The specification is objected to by the Examiner.    The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a   accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner.							
۔ ۵٫۰	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	• • •	FR 1 121	(d)			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · ·	-		.u.j.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f).					
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	p	, (=, =, (,,					
/1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
	Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	·		9-				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	ed.					
Attachmen	·	_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTC	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/627,018

Art Unit: 3731

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP \$ 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The claimed "tip portion" should be clearly identified and described in the specification.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Applicant's disclosure. The instrument shown in figures 1A-1D of the application is admitted as being prior art. instrument convertible admitted prior art is а rongeur comprising front handle piece 106, bottom shaft piece 102 having tip portion (member 105 in combination with the distal portion of shaft piece 102 which is directly below gap 110) and handle portion 118, and a longitudinal axis from said handle portion to said tip portion, top shaft cutting piece 101 slideably engaged along said longitudinal axis with the tip portion (i.e. when the top shaft cutting piece 101 slides forwardly from the position shown in figure 1A so that it slides along the distal portion of shaft piece 102 which was directly below gap 110 but is now being covered by cutting piece 101), the top shaft cutting piece 101 being disengageable with the tip portion (when the top shaft cutting piece 101 is retracted to the position shown in figure 1A so that it no longer is directly above and engaged to the

Art Unit: 3731

distal portion of shaft piece 102) wherein, when the top shaft cutting piece is disengaged from the tip portion, the top shaft cutting piece remains attached to the rongeur so as to retain all parts of the rongeur in one attached unit. Alternatively, it would have been obvious that the top shaft cutting piece 101 is disengageable with the tip portion since cutting piece 101 can be retracted to the position shown in figure 1A in which it is not above and does not contact the tip portion.

Claims 1-7 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed August 18, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It appears that the claimed "tip portion" of applicant's invention must be the unlabeled foot plate in combination with only the distal portion of shaft 202 since the longitudinal axis in claim 8, line 4-5 is defined as being from the handle portion 218 to the tip portion. The tip portion cannot be the entire bottom shaft 202 since the claimed longitudinal axis does not extend from the handle portion 218 to the entire bottom shaft 202. A similar portion of the admitted prior art device is considered to be the claimed "tip portion".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael

Application/Control Number: 09/627,018

Art Unit: 3731

Thaler whose telephone number is (571)272-4704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

mht 12/15/04 MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3731

Page 4